

Appln. No. 09/874,630
Amendment dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Attorney's Docket No. 25-0018
Client's Docket No. P1673US00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No. 09/874,630)
First Named Applicant: GARY E. SULLIVAN)
Filed: 6/4/2001)
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR LEVERAGING)
NETWORKED COMPUTERS TO VIEW WINDOWS)
BASED FILES ON LINUX PLATFORMS)
TC/A.U.: 2144)
Examiner: Hernandez, Olga)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 10 2005

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed December 10, 2004, please
amend the application as follows:

Amendments to the Specification: None.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which
begins on page 2 of this paper

Amendments to the Drawings: None.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 15 of this paper.

Page 1 of 20

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appl. No. 09/874,630
Amendment dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Thus, since neither the Nakabayashi nor the Menezes patents disclose the requirement of "receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format *unreadable by the client computer*", it is submitted that the allegedly obvious combination of these patents could not lead one of ordinary skill in the art to the requirements of the claims.

Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 through 40 is therefore respectfully requested.


CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By


Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807
(605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931

Date:

MARCH 10, 2005

Appl. No. 09/874,630

Amendment dated March 10, 2005

Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Thus, since neither the Nakabayashi nor the Menezes patents disclose the requirement of "receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format *unreadable by the client computer*", it is submitted that the allegedly obvious combination of these patents could not lead one of ordinary skill in the art to the requirements of the claims.

Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 through 40 is therefore respectfully requested.


CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By


Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807
(605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931

Date:

MARCH 10, 2005

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Effective October 1, 2000

Application or Docket Number

258 / 278

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

(Column 1)

(Column 2)

SMALL ENTITY TYPE ☐ OR

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

TOTAL CLAIMS	40	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	40 minus 20 =	20
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	8 minus 3 =	5
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT <input type="checkbox"/>		

RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	355.00
X\$ 9=	
X40=	
+135=	
TOTAL	

RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	710.00
X\$18=	360
X80=	400
+270=	-
TOTAL	1470

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

(Column 1)

(Column 2)

(Column 3)

SMALL ENTITY OR

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	42	40	= 2
Independent	8	8	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X40=	
+135=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	100.00
X80=	
+270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	100.00

AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total			=
Independent			=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X40=	
+135=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	
X80=	
+270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total			=
Independent			=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X40=	
+135=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	
X80=	
+270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.
 ** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."
 *** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."
 The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY